

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,075	07/18/2001 .	Mamoru Kosakai	SUMI-006	9765
7.	590 07/18/2003			
Andrew D. Gathy			EXAMINER .	
Sierra Patent G P.O. Box 6149	•		MAYEKA	, KISHOR
Stateline, NV 89449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



AS-7

Application No. 09/909,075

Applicant(s)

M. KOSAKAI et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Kishor Mayekar

Art Unit 1753

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover s	heet with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (he application to be	6) MONTHS fi come ABAND(rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 30, 2	003		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-fina	al.	·		
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	ar	re subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Jul 18, 2001 is/are	a) 💢 accept	ted or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	i	s: a) 🗌 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)💢	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🔀	∄ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
	1. 🔀 Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receiv	ed.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receiv	ed in App	lication No		
:	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea			ceived in this National Stage		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified cor	oies not re	eceived.		
14)	$\label{lem:constraint} \textbf{Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic}$	priority under	r 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e).		
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisiona	I application I	nas been i	received.		
15) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	r 35 U.S.(C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		_				
\sim	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)			-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) X Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	6) Uther:				

Page 2 Application/Control Number: 09/909,075

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show 1.

every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the power supply

electrode must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter

should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to

the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the

drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not within the range 2.

of 50-150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/909,075 Page 3

Art Unit: 1753

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the phrase "In addition, Electrostatic

adsorption apparatus" with "Electrostatic" starting with a capital letter.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to

determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the

specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/909,075

Art Unit: 1753

Clarification is needed.

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The independent claim 1 recites a power supply electrode that applies a voltage to the electrode as well as the specification discloses in the 3rd paragraph of page 7 that the apparatus 10 is equipped with power supply electrode 7, however it is not clear to the skill in the art as to how the recited or

disclosed electrode can apply a voltage to the electrostatic adsorption electrode.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 8. indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrases "the adsorption surface" and "the plastic film"

Application/Control Number: 09/909,075 Page 5

Art Unit: 1753

are lacking antecedent basis. The phrase "that applies" is indefinite for its reference to a method of operating the device.

Regarding claim 2, the phrase "employs" is indefinite for its reference to a method of operating the device.

Regarding claim 3, the phrase "the positive electrode" is lacking antecedent basis when it depends upon claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/909,075

Art Unit: 1753

HONMA et al. (5,748,436) in view of JP 07-297265, a reference cited by Applicant. HONMA's invention is directed to an electrostatic chuck for electrostatically clamping a working member to the chuck. HONMA discloses in Fig. 3 that the chuck comprises an electrostatic adsorption electrode, an insulated dielectric layer covered the electrostatic adsorption electrode, and a power supply. The differences between HONMA and the above claims are the setting of the recited roughness of the adsorption surface of the dielectric layer, and the intended use of the chuck.

As to the first subject matter, JP '265 shows in an electrostatic chuck the setting of roughness on the adsorption surface of the dielectric layer to be 0.25 μ m or below which is within the recited range (see abstract). The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified HONMA's teachings as suggested by JP '265 because this would result in obtaining an electrostatic chuck having a quick response characteristic and n excellent performance.

As to the intended use, the use of the chuck for processing plastic film, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/909,075

Art Unit: 1753

art at the time the invention was made to have modified the references' teachings because it has been held on the intended use of apparatus that "apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does", Hewlett-Packard Co. V. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ 2d 1525.

As to the subject matter of claim 3, the recited ratio of the interval and the thickness, the selection would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

As to the subject matter of claim 4, 5 or 6, the recited volumetric resistivity of the dielectric layer, HONMA further discloses that the dielectric layer has an electrical resistivity between 10^8 to 10^{13} C cm which overlaps the recited range.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (703) 308-0477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for this *G*roup is (703) 872-9310 (non-after finals) or 872-9311 (after final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Art Unit: 1753

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner

Group 1700

KM July 14, 2003